

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,036	09/17/2003	Shinichi Handa	DAIN:754 2578	
6160 7	590 05/07/2004		EXAMINER	
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.			LEURIG, SHARLENE L	
1421 PRINCE STREET SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A, VA 22314-2805		2879	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/664,036	HANDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharlene Leurig	2879	AN			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ety filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De	ecember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No	Stage			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Occ the attached detailed Office action for a list		 -				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		ı-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chien (US 2002/0003697 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Chien discloses a pattern display apparatus comprising a rotary (Figure I) or stationary (Figure 1-E) display member and a flexible organic EL device (paragraph 0026) provided on an outer surface of the rotary or stationary display member. The flexible organic EL device is capable of displaying a character, figure, mark or pattern formed by combining some of a character, figure or mark (paragraph 0026).

Regarding claim 2, the rotary display member (Figure I) rotates to display a variable patterning and stops to display a still patterning (paragraphs 0026 and 0046). The claim limitation of the rotary display member being used in a game machine is a statement of intended use and does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus of Chien, which satisfies the claimed structural limitations.

Regarding claim 3, the claim limitation of the stationary display member being used in a POP advertisement is a statement of intended use and does not differentiate

Art Unit: 2879

the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus of Chien, which satisfies the claimed structural limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chien (US 2002/0003697 A1) in view of Carcia et al. (6,720,203).

Chien discloses a pattern display apparatus comprising a flexible OEL having a laminated structure including a substrate, a first electrode, an EL layer, and a second electrode (paragraph 0014).

Chien fails to exemplify an OEL having a top sealing layer.

Carcia teaches a flexible organic EL device having a flexible sealing layer (60) provided on top of the OEL device in order to protect the device from degradation resulting from exposure to moisture or air (column 4, lines 45-55).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the OEL device of Chien to have a flexible sealing layer provided above the top electrode, as taught by Carcia, in order to protect the OEL device while maintaining its flexibility.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,036

Art Unit: 2879

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chien (US 2002/0003697 A1) in view of Carcia et al. (6,720,203), as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Kawasaki et al. (6,281,552).

Chien discloses a pattern display apparatus comprising a flexible OEL having a laminated structure including a substrate, a first electrode, an EL layer, and a second electrode (paragraph 0014).

Chien fails to exemplify an OEL having an insulating layer formed between the first and second electrodes or an OEL having a top sealing layer.

Carcia teaches a flexible organic EL device having a flexible sealing layer (60) provided on top of the OEL device in order to protect the device from degradation resulting from exposure to moisture or air (column 4, lines 45-55).

Carcia fails to exemplify an insulating layer formed between the electrodes.

Kawasaki teaches an EL device having an insulating layer (Figure 15B, element 2028) formed between the first (2027) and second (2030) electrodes and formed in a predetermined pattern in order to limit light emission to a predetermined area.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the OEL device of Chien to have a flexible sealing layer provided above the top electrode, as taught by Carcia, in order to protect the OEL device while maintaining its flexibility, and to further modify it to have an insulating layer formed between the electrodes to provide light emission in the desired pattern, as taught by Kawasaki.

Art Unit: 2879

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharlene Leurig whose telephone number is (571) 272-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sll

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5